# Combinatorics in Banach space theory (MIM UW 2014/15) <br> PROBLEMS (Part 3) 

PROBLEM 3.1. Recall that for the (Figiel-Johnson version of the) original Tsirelson space $\mathcal{T}$ the norm on $c_{00}$ is defined as the unique norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfying the implicit formula:

$$
\|x\|=\max \left\{\|x\|_{\infty}, \underset{m \leqslant E_{1}<\ldots<E_{m}}{\theta} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|E_{j} x\right\|\right\}
$$

where $\theta=\frac{1}{2}$. Show that in each of the two following cases:

- if we set $\theta=1$ instead of $\theta=\frac{1}{2}$, or
- if we allow arbitrary decompositions $E_{1}<\ldots<E_{m}$ instead of just those which are $\mathcal{S}$-admissible $(\mathcal{S}=\{A \subset \mathbb{N}: \# A \leqslant \min A\}$, the Schreier family),
after completion we obtain nothing but an isomorphic copy of $\ell_{1}$.
PROBLEM 3.2. Recall that while proving the relation $\ell_{1} \not \leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ we used the BessagaPełczyński selection principle (combined with James' $\ell_{1}$-distortion theorem) by saying that we may assume, towards a contradiction, that there exists a normalized block basic subsequence of the canonical basis $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ which is equivalent (or even $(1+\varepsilon)$ equivalent) to the canonical basis of $\ell_{1}$. Justify this statement by deriving the following corollary from the Bessaga-Pełczyński theorem: If $X$ is a Banach space with a basis $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, then every its infinite-dimensional subspace contains a basic sequence equivalent to a block basic subsequence of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.
Remark. Notice that our claim does not follow directly from the original version of the BessagaPełczyński theorem, as opposed to case of $\ell_{p}$ 's with $p>1$. The difference is that in the latter case the canonical basis (and any its isomorphic copy) is weakly null which is not true for the canonical basis of $\ell_{1}$ and hence the second requirement in the Bessaga-Pełczyński selection principle $\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} e_{k}^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)=0\right.$ for each $k$ ) is not automatically guaranteed.

PROBLEM 3.3. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset[\mathbb{N}]^{<\infty}$ be a compact family, closed under subsets and containing all singletons, and let $\theta \in(0,1)$. We define $W(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$ to be smallest subset $W$ of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)^{*}$ such that:

- $\pm e_{k}^{*} \in W$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- $W$ is closed under the $(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$-operation, that is, if $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d} \in W$ with $\operatorname{supp} f_{1}<$ $\ldots<\operatorname{supp} f_{d}$ being $\mathcal{M}$-admissible (which means that for some $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{d}\right\} \in \mathcal{M}$ we have $m_{1} \leqslant \min \operatorname{supp} f_{1}<m_{2} \leqslant \min \operatorname{supp} f_{2}<\ldots<m_{d} \leqslant \min \operatorname{supp} f_{d}$ ), then $\theta\left(f_{1}+\ldots+f_{d}\right) \in W$.

Show that $W(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$ is a norming subset of the unit dual ball of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$.
Similarly, show that for any mixed Tsirelson space $\mathcal{T}\left[\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}, \theta\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}\right]$, where $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are subfamilies of $[\mathbb{N}]^{<\infty}$ as above, $\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset(0,1)$ and $\theta_{n} \rightarrow 0$, one obtains a norming subset $W\left[\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}\right]$ of the unit dual ball by taking the smallest set containing all functionals of the form $\pm e_{k}^{*}$ and closed under all $\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)$-operations ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Finally, show that for each norming set $W$ obtained as above the corresponding unit dual ball is $\overline{\operatorname{conv}}(W)$, the closure taken with respect to the topology of coordinatewise convergence in $\ell_{\infty}$.

PROBLEM 3.4. Suppose that $x \in c_{00}$ and $x_{j}=0$ for every $j>n$. Show that

$$
\|x\|=\|x\|_{m} \quad \text { for each } m \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor,
$$

where $\left(\|\cdot\|_{m}\right)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is the increasing sequence of norms defining the norm on $\mathcal{T}$, given by the recursive formula:

$$
\|x\|_{0}=\|x\|_{\infty} \quad \text { and } \quad\|x\|_{m}=\max \left\{\|x\|_{m-1}, \frac{1}{2} \sup _{k \leqslant E_{1}<\ldots<E_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|E_{j} x\right\|_{m-1}\right\}
$$

PROBLEM 3.5. Prove that the sequence $(\|\cdot\|)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of norms defining the norm on $\mathcal{T}$, and the canonical basis $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\mathcal{T}$, have the following elementary properties:
(a) For all strictly increasing sequences $\left(m_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(n_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers with $m_{j} \leqslant n_{j}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, every sequence of scalars $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$, and every $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we have $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j} e_{m_{j}}\right\|_{m} \leqslant\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j} e_{n_{j}}\right\|_{m}$.
(b) If a subsequence $\left(e_{n_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence equivalent to $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, then $\left(e_{n_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is itself equivalent to $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.
(c) For every $x \in \mathcal{T}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the norm $\|x\|_{m+1}$ is equal either to $\|x\|_{0}$, or $\frac{1}{2} \sup _{k \leqslant E_{1}<\ldots<E_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|E_{j} x\right\|_{m}$. In other words, unless the Tsirelson norm of $x$ is just the supremum norm, at each step $m$ we can pick the latter expression under the maximum sign defining $\|x\|_{m+1}$ (although the sequence of norms may stabilize-it actually always stabilizes for $x \in c_{00}$; cf. Problem 3.4).

PROBLEM 3.6. Given a basis $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of a Banach space $X$, we say that is symmetric (respectively: subsymmetric) provided that $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}$ is equivalent to $\left(e_{\sigma(n)}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for every permutation $\sigma$ of $\mathbb{N}$ (respectively: is unconditional and equivalent to $\left(e_{n_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ for every strictly increasing sequence $\left.\left(n_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}\right)$. Show that $\mathcal{T}$ contains no subsymmetric basic sequence.
Hint. There are many $\ell_{1}^{n}$-like subspaces inside $\mathcal{T}$.
Remark. Note that symmetric bases are automatically unconditional, while we cannot drop the requirement of unconditionality in the definition of subsymmetric bases (as is shown by the summing basis of $c$ ). As a small side exercise you may like to prove that every symmetric basis is subsymmetric but the converse is not true. For the latter statement, consider Garling's example: $X$ is the space of all real sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}\right\|:=\sup \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1 / 2}\left|a_{n_{k}}\right|:\left(n_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \nearrow \infty\right\}<\infty .
$$

PROBLEM 3.7. Show that the Tsirelson space with parameter $\theta=N^{-1}$, for a natural number $N$, is $(N-\varepsilon)$-distortable for every $\varepsilon>0$, that is, there exists an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ on $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{S}, N^{-1}\right)$ such that

$$
\inf _{\substack{Y<\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{S}, N^{-1}\right) \\ \operatorname{dim} Y=\infty}} \sup \left\{\frac{|x|}{|y|}: x, y \in Y,\|x\|=\|y\|=1\right\} \geqslant N-\varepsilon
$$

Hint. Define a new norm on $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{S}, N^{-1}\right)$ by $|x|=\sup \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|E_{j} x\right\|: E_{1}<\ldots<E_{N}\right\}$ and consider two types on vectors (appearing in every infinite-dimensional subspace):

- the average of block sequences $\left(y_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n}$ spanning almost isometric copies of $\ell_{1}^{n}$ (with $n$ much larger than $N$ ), and
- the average of sequences $z_{1}<\ldots<z_{n}$, where each $z_{j}$ is an " $\ell_{1}^{n_{j}}$-average" as above with $n_{j}$ 's rapidly increasing.

PROBLEM 3.8. Show that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the canonical basis $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is equivalent to $\left(e_{n+k}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and, if we let $C_{k}$ to be the minimal equivalence constant, then $\sup _{k} C_{k}=\infty$. Prove also that, similarly, $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \sim\left(e_{k n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and that the corresponding equivalence constants grow to infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

PROBLEM 3.9. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ define a norm on $c_{00}$ by the formula

$$
\|x\|_{m}^{\prime}=\sup \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left\|E_{j} x\right\|_{m}: 1 \leqslant E_{1}<E_{2}<E_{3}\right\}
$$

where the inside norm is the $m$ th norm in the sequence defining Tsirelson's norm. Show that for every strictly increasing sequence $\left(n_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$, every finite sequence of scalars $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j} e_{n_{2 j}}\right\|_{m} \leqslant\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j} e_{n_{j}}\right\|_{m}^{\prime}
$$

PROBLEM 3.10. Prove that every subsequence of the canonical basis of $\mathcal{T}$ spans a subspace which is isomorphic to its square. In particular, $\mathcal{T} \cong \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{T}$.
Hint. Use the assertions of Problems 3.5(a) and 3.9.
Remark. This result, as well as the assertion of Problem 3.9 which is a crucial lemma here, comes from the paper [P.G. Casazza, W.B. Johnson, L. Tzafriri, On Tsirelson's space, Israel J. Math. 47 (1984), 81-98].

PROBLEM 3.11. Let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathcal{T}$. Show that for every strictly increasing sequence $\left(n_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$, the basic sequence $\left(e_{k}\right)_{k \neq n_{2 j}}$ is 3-equivalent to $\left(e_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Hint. Use the assertions of Problems 3.5(a) and 3.9.

PROBLEM 3.12. Let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathcal{T}$. Show that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every sequence of scalars $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} e_{n+k-1}\right\| \leqslant\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} e_{k n}\right\| \leqslant 4\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} e_{n+k-1}\right\| .
$$

Hint. Apply repeatedly the assertion of Problem 3.9 to the sequence given by $x=\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} e_{2 j \cdot n}$, where $j$ is chosen so that $2^{j-1} \leqslant k<2^{j}$.
Remark. The above assertion gives a kind of compromise between equivalences formulated in Problem 3.8 and unboundedness of the equivalence constants. It implies, e.g., that $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=k+1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(e_{2^{k} \cdot n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are 16 -equivalent.

